

Updating Council forecasts

About today's topic

- ③ Council's forecasts to year 2030:
the quantitative parameters for local
planning
- ③ Forecast business rules
- ③ Forecast revisions over the past 12
months

If <forecast>, then <plan>

- ⊕ Forecast not a rigid minimum – nor maximum – but we expect local planning *at the right order of magnitude*
- ⊕ Forecasts understood by cities as planning authorization – and an assurance of regional services

Forecasts review in 2005

- ⊕ Consistency between the 3 datasets
 - ⊕ Regional Development Framework =
 - ⊕ Water Resources Policy Plan =
 - ⊕ Local Plans for 2020
- ⊕ Recordkeeping of sewer-serviced *and unserviced* households, jobs
- ⊕ Expected detachments/annexations

Bayport household forecasts for year 2020



Bayport household forecasts for year 2020



Maintenance of forecasts

- ⊕ Objective: Council and local governments working from the same numbers
- ⊕ Forecasts explicitly addressed
 - ⊕ New growth expectations?
 - ⊕ Regional System impacts?
- ⊕ It's formal: Council action or approval needed to revise forecasts

Minor forecast revisions in past 12 months

⊕ *Plan Amendments* in Chaska,
Norwood Young America,
Minnetrista, Richfield, Rosemount,
Willernie, Lino Lakes

- ⊕ All found to be new growth, not previously planned and programmed
- ⊕ As a group, added +2,100 households to 2030 forecasts

Minor forecast revisions in past 12 months

- ④ *Plan Update* for Prior Lake
 - ④ Reduction of -1,200 households to 2030 forecast
- ④ *Development Framework amendment* affecting East Bethel
 - ④ Doubled the 2030 forecast
- ④ *System Statement* revision for Mayer
 - ④ Added +1,200 households to 2030, reflecting a past Plan Amendment

Forecast revisions

- ⊕ Local government notified in the letter that follows Council action
- ⊕ Published forecast tables covering the region: annually updated
- ⊕ Revisions coming up: queued for next revision of the tables

Updating Council forecasts

Todd Graham, Council Research

651/602-1322