

Transportation Advisory Board
Of the Metropolitan Council of the Twin Cities

ACTION TRANSMITTAL

No. 2011-45

DATE: May 12, 2011
TO: Transportation Advisory Board
FROM: Technical Advisory Committee
SUBJECT: TIP Amendment Request for MN/DOT SP#1928-64: Mill and Overlay on US 52 in Inver Grove Heights.

MOTION: That the TAB adopt an amendment to the 2011-2014 TIP to include MN/DOT SP#1928-64: US 52 Mill and Overlay, Drainage, ADA Improvements and Traffic Management System Improvements from TH 55 to I-494 in Inver Grove Heights.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF ACTION: This project is a high priority pavement need for the MN/DOT Metro District. This project is located in an area where traffic control will be in place for the Lafayette Bridge replacement project and doing both projects at the same time will be more cost efficient and less impactful to the travelling public.

The project is being moved forward using available FY 2012 federal funds. This project can be funded in 2012 because favorable bids on the Lafayette Bridge replacement left additional federal funds available. Additional background material is attached.

ROUTING

TO	ACTION REQUESTED	DATE COMPLETED
TAC Funding & Programming Committee	Review & Recommend	April 21, 2011
Technical Advisory Committee	Review & Recommend	May 4, 2011
TAB Programming Committee	Review & Recommend	
Transportation Advisory Board	Review & Adopt	
Metropolitan Council	Concurrence	



Minnesota Department of Transportation

Metro District
1500 West County Road B-2
Roseville, MN 55113

Office Telephone: (651) 234-7793
Fax: (651) 234-7786

April 21, 2011

Karl Keel, Chair
TAC Funding and Programming Committee
Metropolitan Council
390 N. Robert St.
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: Amendment to the Minnesota 2011-2014 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
State Project Number: 1928-64 US 52 Mill and Overlay
Federal Project Number:

Dear Mr. Keel:

Please amend the Minnesota 2011-2014 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to add the following new project in SFY 2012 of the TIP. The project is being submitted with the following information:

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:

SEQ #	STATE FISCAL YEAR	A T P	D I S T	ROUTE SYSTEM	PROJECT NUMBER (S.P. #) (Fed # if available)	AGENCY	DESCRIPTION include location, description of all work, & city (if applicable)	MILES
	2012	M	M	US 52	1928-64	MnDOT	FROM MN 55 to I 494 IN INVER GRV HGTS – BIT MILL & OVERLAY, DRAINAGE ,ADA IMPROVEMENTS, AND TMS	4.0

PROG	TYPE OF WORK	PROP FUNDS	TOTAL \$	FHWA \$	AC \$	FTA \$	TH \$	OTHER \$
RS	GRADE AND SURFACE	NHS	3,862,000	3,089,600	0	0	772,400	0

An Equal Opportunity Employer



PROJECT BACKGROUND:

1. Briefly describe why amendment is needed (e.g. project in previous TIP but not completed; illustrative project and funds now available; discretionary funds received; inadvertently not included in TIP).

Over the past several months MnDOT has conducted risk analyses on pavement preservation individually and then compared pavement preservation risks to the department's other investment needs-safety, mobility, and regional and community investment priorities. From this assessment, pavements were identified as a high priority for future investment.

To determine where high priority needs were located, the MnDOT Materials office has provided data to the Districts from the Statewide Pavement Model. This project on US 52 was among those listed as a high priority for pavement replacement. Along with this, the project is located in an area where traffic control will be in place for the Lafayette Bridge replacement project. Doing both projects at the same time is economically efficient and delays to the public would be minimized. The project is a mill and overlay project with some drainage corrections, ADA improvements and Traffic Management System (TMS) improvements.

This amendment is needed to identify the project in SFY 2012 of the 2011-2014 STIP.

2. How is Fiscal Constraint Maintained as required by 23 CFR 450.216 (check all that apply)?
 - New Money – (indicate type here) _____
(Discretionary, Special Allocations or Other New Funding Sources)
 - Anticipated Advance Construction _____
 - ATP or MPO or Mn/DOT Adjustment _____
of other projects X
 - Earmark or HPP federal funds outside ATP _____
target
 - Other* _____

*This project can be funded in SFY 2012 as project 6244-30AC1(Seq.#1657) ,

An Equal Opportunity Employer



a advance construct (AC) payback for Lafayette Br., became unnecessary due to favorable bids on the project. This leaves \$18,000,000 federal funds available to fund the \$3,089,600 federal funds needed for project 1928-64. The remaining \$14,910,400 federal funds will remain available to use on future projects. By not needing project 6244-30AC1(Seq. #1657) in SFY 2011, fiscal constraint is maintained.

CONSISTENCY WITH MPO LONG RANGE PLAN:


This amendment is consistent with the Metropolitan Council Transportation Policy Plan, adopted on January 14, 2009, with FHWA/FTA conformity determination established on September 16, 2009. The amendment is also consistent with the 2030 Policy Plan update adopted by the Metropolitan Council on November 10, 2010 and transmitted to MnDOT on November 22, 2010 for transmittal to USDOT for a conformity determination.

AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY:

- Subject to conformity determination..... _____
- Exempt from regional level analysis*..... X
- Exempt from project level analysis*..... X
- Exempt by virtue of interagency consultation*..... _____
- N/A (not in a nonattainment or maintenance area) _____


*Exempt Project Category # S-10 Pavement Resurfacing and/or rehabilitation Per Section 93.126 of the Conformity Rules

We are requesting approval of this STIP amendment at this time. If you have any questions, please call me at (651) 234-7788.

Sincerely,

Brian Isaacson, Director
Investment Management
Metro District

An Equal Opportunity Employer





Existing Regional Functional Class Roads

- Principal Arterial
- B Minor
- Major Collector
- Minor Collector
- A Minor Augmentor
- A Minor Reliever
- A Minor Expander
- A Minor Connector

Planned Regional Functional Class Roads

- Principal Arterial
- B Minor
- Major Collector
- A Minor Augmentor
- A Minor Reliever
- A Minor Expander
- A Minor Connector

City / Township Boundaries

TLG Street Centerlines

County Boundaries

