

E Environment Committee
For the Metropolitan Council meeting of April 28, 2010

Item: 2010-138

ADVISORY INFORMATION

Date Prepared: April 19, 2010

Subject: Authorization to Negotiate and Execute Engineering Services Contract
09P228B for Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant (MWWTP)
Electrical Projects

Proposed Action:

That the Metropolitan Council authorizes its Regional Administrator to negotiate and execute an Engineering Services Contract for the Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant (MWWTP) Electrical Projects, Contract No. 09P228B to EMA in the amount of \$2,005,026.

Summary of Committee Discussion / Questions:

Council member Leppik asked how the proposal compared to the engineer's estimate. Staff responded that the staff estimate was slightly higher than the EMA proposal. After the meeting, staff determined that the engineer's estimate was \$2,000,000.

Motion to approve the proposed action was made, seconded, and passed unanimously.

E Environment Committee **Meeting date: April 13, 2010**

For the Metropolitan Council Meeting of April 28, 2010

ADVISORY INFORMATION

Date:	April 5, 2010
Subject:	Authorization to Negotiate and Execute Engineering Services Contract 09P228B for Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant (MWWTP) Electrical Projects
District(s), Member(s):	All
Policy/Legal Reference:	Policy 3-3, Expenditures
Staff Prepared/Presented:	Bill Cook 651-602-1811
Division/Department:	MCES c/o William G. Moore 651-602-1162

Proposed Action

That the Metropolitan Council authorizes its Regional Administrator to negotiate and execute an Engineering Services Contract for the Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant (MWWTP) Electrical Projects, Contract No. 09P228B to EMA in the amount of \$2,005,026.

Background

A number of projects from the approved Metropolitan WWTP Rehabilitation and Facility Improvements Facility Planning Report (approved 2/10/2009) are included in this proposed engineering services contract, including upgrading sludge pump controls, electrical cable replacement, process computer upgrade support, and HVAC improvements. A formal solicitation was made for this work and three proposals were received. Each of the proposals was evaluated. Two proposals, from EMA and Sebesta Blomberg, were deemed highest quality. The third proposal was evaluated to be marginal due to the limited resources offered. The EMA proposal was the lower priced proposal of these two high quality proposals.

Rationale

Professional services contracts with an amount exceeding \$250,000 require Metropolitan Council authorization.

Funding

Funding is provided in the 2010 Authorized Capital Program, Project 805900.

Known Support / Opposition

None