Committee Report

Item: 2008-233

Community Development Committee

For the Metropolitan Council meeting of October 22, 2008

ADVISORY INFORMATION

Date Prepared: October 8, 2008

Subject: Adoption of Payment Standards for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

Proposed Action:

That the Metropolitan Council adopt amounts, listed below, as Payment Standards for the Federal Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Rent Assistance Program effective November 1, 2008

Summary of Committee Discussion / Questions:

Council Member Pistilli asked a question about the differing levels of rent subsidy paid from one Community to another based on differing rental costs from one Community to another and what keeps a household from moving to a higher cost community so as to receive a larger amount of subsidy. Staff explained that the subsidy is paid directly to the landlord to offset the cost of the unit. The participating household pays 30% of their income towards the rent regardless of where the unit is located. Rental markets that earn higher rents due to location for the same standard unit result in a higher subsidy costs. Competitive payment standards help participating households find suitable units in all markets so as to avoid concentrations of assisted housing in lower cost rental markets. Council Member Meeks asked whether families can move to lower cost markets outside the seven county region. Staff explained that Metro HRA vouchers are valid only within its service jurisdiction but families can request to transfer to another Housing Authority. Each Housing Authority sets its own payment standards based on the fair market rents as established by HUD for that area. Council Member Sanda asked about the number of households and people that benefit from the Section 8 and FAHP programs. Staff explained the Council's Section 8 program is 5904 households or approximately 17,000 people. The FAHP program is 150 households based on 150 properties.

C Community Development Committee

Meeting date: October 6, 2008

_ADVISORY INFORMATION					
Date:	September 25, 2008				
Subject:	: Adoption of Payment Standards for the Section 8 Housing Choice				
	Voucher Program				
District(s), Member(s):	All				
Policy/Legal Reference:	Code of Federal Regulations, Title 24, Section 982				
Staff Prepared/Presented:	Beth Reetz, Manager, HRA Programs, 602-1278				
Division/Department:	Community Development / HRA				

Proposed Action

That the Metropolitan Council adopt amounts, listed below, as Payment Standards for the Federal Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Rent Assistance Program effective November 1, 2008

Background

The Metropolitan Council annually reviews and adopts Payment Standards to be used in the administration of the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. The payment standards are used to calculate the maximum monthly subsidy for each assisted family. Payment Standards must be set at amounts that assure a sufficient supply of rental housing is available to program participants. To accomplish this objective, payment standards must be both high enough to permit choice of unit types and location and low enough to serve as many households as possible with limited funding.

Payment standards are limited by the Fair Market Rent (FMR) limits published annually by HUD for each metropolitan area and/or county. By federal regulation, the Metropolitan Council is authorized to establish Payment Standards at amounts not less than 90% and not more than 110% of the published FMRs.

The level at which HUD sets FMRs is expressed as a percentile point within the rent distribution of all standardquality rental housing units occupied by renter households who moved to their present residence within the past 15 months. (Public housing units and units less than 2 years old are excluded.) During the 30-year history of the program, HUD has shifted the percentile point within the rent distribution of units for the Minneapolis St Paul Metropolitan area between the 40^{th} , 45^{th} and 50^{th} percentile. Currently, the FMRs for this metro area are based on the 40^{th} percentile of the rental market.

Rationale

New FMRs are published annually effective October 1st. The proposed FMRs for Federal Fiscal Year 2009 have increased 3% for each bedroom size which mirrors the findings by the local market advisor GVA Marquette which documents a 3% increase overall in the past 12 months.

The recommended Payment Standards also reflect a 3% increase for all bedroom sizes. The recommended standards provide the maximum allowable range increase (110%) applied to the FMRs. This is necessary to ensure a sufficient supply of rental housing is available to program participants in all communities served by the Metro HRA. Sufficient payment standards help ensure voucher holders may successfully utilize their Section 8 Voucher and also help ensure participant portions are between 30 to 40% of their adjusted monthly income.

Second quarter 2008 average vacancy rates in the metro area fell to 3.7%, down from 3.9% a year ago, according to information from GVA Marquette Advisors. Vacancy rates of 5% reflect a balanced or healthy market. Continued pressure on the rental housing market is expected with the reduction in demand for home purchases and increases in foreclosures.

	Recommended	Current	%	\$
	Payment	Payment	Of Change	Of Change
	Standards	Standards		
0 BR	\$ 671	\$ 600	11.8	\$ +61
1 BR	\$ 790	\$ 768	2.9	\$ +22
2 BR	\$ 960	\$ 932	2.9	\$ +28
3 BR	\$ 1257	\$ 1221	3.0	\$ +36
4 BR	\$ 1412	\$ 1371	3.0	\$ +41
5 BR	\$ 1624	\$ 1577	3.0	\$ +47

* Zero bedroom standard increased this year to reflect same percent of FMR as all other bedroom sizes.

Funding

The recommended payment standards are low enough to enable the Metropolitan Council to continue to assist the maximum allowable number of households with existing funding levels and high enough to provide a sufficient supply of rental housing to program participants to assure continued program success. Staff recommends the Metropolitan Council adopt the payment standards for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program.

Known Support / Opposition

There is no known opposition to this action.