# **Community Development Committee**

# Meeting date: December 19, 2011

ADVISORY INFORMATION	
Subject:	City of Wayzata Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Local
-	Scenic Byways, Review File No. 20529-3
District(s), Member(s):	District 3, Council Member Jennifer Munt
Policy/Legal Reference:	Minnesota Statutes Section 473.175
Staff Prepared/Presented:	Tom Caswell, Principal Reviewer, 651-602-1319
•	Phyllis Hanson, Local Planning Assistance Manager, 651-
	602-1566
Division/Department:	Community Development / Planning & Growth Management

## **Proposed Action**

That the Metropolitan Council:

- 1. Adopt the attached review record and allow the City of Wayzata to put the Local Scenic Byways comprehensive plan amendment (CPA) into effect.
- 2. Advise the City that the Council will continue to support the designation and function of CSAH 101/Bushaway Road as an "A" Minor arterial (expander).
- 3. Find that the proposed CPA does not change the City's forecasts.

# Background

The Metropolitan Council reviewed the City of Wayzata 2030 Comprehensive Plan (Update) on December 9, 2009.

The first amendment to the Update, of less than one acre, was acted on by the Council in August of 2010.

The CPA proposes to designate a scenic byways overlay classification in the City for County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 101/Bushaway Road; McGinty Rd; Eastman Lane; and Shoreline Drive.

# Rationale

The proposed CPA conforms to regional system plans, but is inconsistent with the Regional Transportation System Policy Plan. The CPA is consistent with Council policies for housing, forecasts, water supply, *2030 Regional Development Framework*, Land Use, and water resource management. The CPA is compatible with the plans of school districts, and affected special districts, but not compatible with the plans of Hennepin County and the City of Minnetonka.

# Funding

None.

# Known Support / Opposition

In June 2011, letters of opposition to this amendment were received by Wayzata from Hennepin County, and the City of Minnetonka (see Attachments A and B).

# **REVIEW RECORD**

City of Wayzata Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Local Scenic Byways

# Review File No. 20529-3, Council Business Item No. 2011-368

# BACKGROUND

The Metropolitan Council reviewed the Update on December 9, 2009.

The first amendment to the Update, of less than one acre, was acted on by the Council in August of 2010.

Wayzata is designated as a Developed Area in the 2030 Regional Development Framework. This amendment does not change the City's forecasts.

## **REQUEST SUMMARY**

The CPA proposes to designate a scenic byways overlay classification to several roads in the City. Specifically, McGinty Rd; Eastman Lane; Lake Street; Shoreline Drive; and County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 101/Bushaway Road. The intention of the Scenic Byways designation is to acknowledge and preserve non-transportation resources, especially the scenic, historic, environmental, recreational, archeological and cultural qualities of these roads.

#### **OVERVIEW**

Conformance with Regional Systems	The CPA conforms to the Regional System Plans for Parks, Transportation (including Aviation), and Wastewater, but is inconsistent with policies of the Transportation Policy Plan.
Consistency with Council Policies	The CPA is consistent with the Council's RDF, with water resources management, and is consistent with Council forecasts.
Compatibility with Plans of Adjacent Jurisdictions	The CPA is not compatible with the transportation plans of Hennepin County and the City of Minnetonka.

# PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTIONS

• The Council acted on the City's Update in December 2009.

#### ISSUES

- I. Does the amendment conform to the regional system plans?
- II. Is the amendment consistent with the RDF and other Council policies?
- III. Does the amendment change the City's forecasts?
- IV. Is the amendment compatible with the plans of adjacent local governmental units and affected jurisdictions?

# **ISSUE ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

## CONFORMANCE WITH REGIONAL SYSTEMS

The proposed CPA conforms to regional policies plans for parks, transportation (including aviation), and water resources management.

### Transportation

#### **Roads and Transit**

Reviewer: Ann Braden, MTS – Systems Planning, (651-602-1705)

#### Advisory Comment

A Scenic Byway designation of CSAH 101/Bushaway Road is inconsistent with its functional classification designation as an "A" minor arterial (expander).

The plan amendment proposes to designate a scenic byways overlay classification to several roads in the City, including CSAH 101/Bushaway Road which is designated as an "A" Minor Arterial/Expander on the regional functional classification system. The "A" minor arterial system supplements the Metropolitan Highway system and emphasizes mobility as opposed to access. "A" Minor arterial expander roads provide connections between developing areas outside the beltway, and to principal arterials, other minor arterials and collectors. Connections to some local streets are acceptable. "A" Minor arterial expanders serve medium to long trips. Both local and limited stop transit use minor arterials. Hennepin County has jurisdiction over CSAH 101/Bushaway Road and is maintaining its function as an "A" minor arterial. The Council recognizes that CSAH 101/Bushaway Road has particular geometric and design challenges due to its location but supports its continued designation and function as an "A" Minor arterial (expander).

#### CONSISTENCY WITH COUNCIL POLICY

The proposed CPA is consistent with the Council's policies for housing, subsurface sewage treatment systems, and water supply. The proposed CPA is consistent with the *2030 Regional Development Framework* (RDF) policies for Diversified Rural communities. The CPA will not change the City's forecasts.

## WITH PLANS OF ADJACENT GOVERNMENTAL UNITS AND PLANS OF AFFECTED SPECIAL DISTRICTS AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The proposed CPA is compatible with the plans of affected special districts and school districts. However, the CPA is not consistent with the transportation plans of Hennepin County and the City of Minnetonka (see Attachments A and B).

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A: Letter from Hennepin County to Wayzata, dated June 17, 2011 Attachment B: Letter from Minnetonka to Wayzata, dated June 30, 2011

# Attachment A

Hennepin County Transportation Department

June 17, 2011

612-596-0300, Phone 763-478-4000, FAX 763-478-4030, TDD www.hennepin.us

Mr. Bryan Gadow, City Planner City of Wayzata 600 Rice Street East Wayzata MN 55391-1799 JUN 2 0 2011

CITY OF WAYZATA

RE: City of Wayzata 2030 Comprehensive Plan Proposed Plan Amendment – Scenic Byways Roadway Designation

Dear Mr. Gadow:

The purpose of this letter is to express opposition to the proposed Wayzata 2030 Comprehensive Plan amendment designating Bushaway Road (County State Aid Highway 101) between the south city limits and Wayzata Boulevard as a Scenic Byway, a new roadway classification contained in the amendment. I call your attention to the Plan's Appendix A, section A1.2. regarding minor arterials wherein it is stated, "A well-planned and adequately designed system of principal and "A" minor arterials will allow the City's overall street system to function the way it is intended and will discourage through traffic from using residential streets." In contrast, the proposed amendment section A.3 states, "High volume traffic is not appropriate on scenic byways because these users do not benefit from the attractiveness of the roadway. In addition, the physical nature of the road is not conducive to efficient thru-traffic movement." Bushaway Road is an "A" minor arterial with a high volume of through traffic that, because of its nature, keeps the through traffic volume off other city streets. Thus by its function, it fails to adhere to the Scenic Byway function.

Amendment section 8G. states, "Wayzata's position for the past three decades has been to discourage the widening of CSAH 101 to four lanes at any location." Referring to renumbered Section A7. of the Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Chapter, "the City believes the historic character of the road and its intimate proximity to the corridor's sensitive natural resources limits the ability to expand Bushaway Road beyond a three-lane facility." As city staff is aware, the county has revised the proposed layout for Bushaway Road to provide a two lane road with shoulders, a layout slightly narrower than a three lane section (generally meeting the condition stated in renumbered Section A7.). Insofar as the revised layout essentially meets the stated desire of the city, the Scenic Byway classification appears unnecessary for Bushaway Road.

Attention is also directed to the Bicycle/Trail Plan of the Transportation Chapter. Map 5.11 contained therein acknowledges a proposed trail along Bushaway Road. Insofar as the county's Bushaway Road layout proposal includes an off road trail as indicated in Map 5.11 and the map is not revised via the amendment, the county's proposal appears to comply with the Comprehensive Plan and would thus be an acceptable component of the overall Bushaway Road project. The Scenic Byway classification may be in conflict, and inappropriately so.

An Equal Opportunity Employer

**Recycled** Paper

Bryan Gadow 2030 Comprehensive Plan Amendment June 17, 2011 Page 2

Finally, I refer you to the Roadway System Plan of the Transportation Chapter wherein it is stated, "This section of the Transportation Plan will identify future roadway improvement needs, while limiting social, environmental and aesthetic impacts." As the reader examines Map 5.5 which identifies current roadway deficiencies and Map 5.6 which indicates a three lane section is preferred for Bushaway Road (see above), the county's proposed two lane/shoulder section (except at McGinty Road and south of Wayzata Boulevard where turn lanes are introduced) appears to be conforming with the various sections of the Comprehensive Plan.

In closing, I urge the city not to classify Bushaway Road, or any other county road, a Scenic Byway. The county's efforts to reduce environmental and societal impacts related to the Bushaway Road reconstruction occurred as an outcome of the public involvement process. The Scenic Byway classification is not relevant and does not change the county's approach to issue reconciliation via context sensitive design.

Sincerely,

James n. Drube

James N. Grube Director of Transportation and County Engineer

JNG/cu

cc: Commissioner Jan Callison Marthand Nookala Tom Johnson

# Attachment B



14600 Minnetonka Blvd. • Minnetonka, MN 55345 (952) 939-8200 • Fax (952) 939-8244 eminnetonka.com

June 30, 2011

City of Wayzata Brian Gadow, AICP, City Planner 600 Rice Street East Wayzata, MN 55391

RE: comprehensive plan amendment

Dear Mr. Gadow:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the proposed transportation amendment to the city's comprehensive plan.

The city of Minnetonka <u>objects</u> to the proposed amendment to classify CSAH 101 as a local scenic byway further restricting the ability to address future transportation improvements. As proposed this amendment would not be consistent with adopted city of Minnetonka transportation plan, Hennepin County Transportation Plans and Metropolitan Transportation System plans.

More specifically we offer the following comments:

- Although CSAH 101 is a roadway of local importance, it's regional significance should not be diminished. By definition as an "A" Minor Arterial, mobility is emphasized over individual access.
- Restricting the ability to improve roadway functionality is inconsistent with the coordinated roadway planning efforts the cities of Wayzata, Minnetonka and Hennepin County have been engaged in for many years.
- Restricting roadway improvements will only cause identified current and future congestion issues to become more problematic, expensive and difficult to resolve.

If you have questions, I can be reached at (952) 939-8296 or loordon@eminnetonka.com.

Regards. han

Loren Gordon, AICP City Planner

Cc: Lee Gustafson, PE, Director of Engineering Julie Wischnack, AICP, Community Development Director

Minnetonka...where quality is our nature