Business Item Item: 2010-190

Community Development Committee

Meeting date: May 17, 2010

ADVISORY INFORMATION

Date: May 11, 2010

Subject: LCA Affordable and Life Cycle Housing Goals 2011-

2020

District(s), Member(s): All

Policy/Legal Reference: Minnesota Statutes §473.254

Staff Prepared/Presented: Guy Peterson, Director, Community Development

Beth Reetz, Director, Housing & Livable Communities

Department

Division/Department: Community Development

Proposed Action

That the Metropolitan Council adopt the methodology used and the attached proposed Livable Communities Act affordable and life-cycle housing goals for 2011 to 2020, and direct staff to communicate these proposed new goals to participating LCA communities for consideration and adoption before September 1, 2010.

Background

LCA Affordable Housing Goals - 1995

In 1995, to implement the Livable Communities Act (LCA), the Metropolitan Council negotiated affordable and life-cycle housing goals with 97 cities that voluntarily chose to participate in the Local Housing Incentives Account (LHIA) of the LCA, and therefore be eligible to compete for grants in the Act's three grant categories – Livable Communities Demonstration Account, Tax Base Revitalization Account, and the LHIA. After 1995, a dozen or so additional communities sought participation and negotiated goals with the Council. The goals for these 100+ cities were the same numbers as those subsequently identified by the communities in their Comprehensive Plan Updates, prepared to fulfill the Local Planning Act (LPA) plan update requirements for 1998.

These LCA goals were expressed as goal ranges intended to increase or maintain each participating cities' share of affordable or life-cycle housing during the 15 year timeframe of 1996 through 2010.

If all of the participating cities achieved the new unit goals they agreed to, the region would have seen the addition of 82,000 affordable owner units and 15,500 affordable rental units over this timeframe.

LCA Affordable Housing Goals - 2011

Fifteen years later we are in the last year of the timeline for the above described negotiated goals and new goals need to be established for the next decade of LCA implementation for communities that elect to continue participation.

In 2006, as part of the LPA affordable housing planning requirement, the Council provided each community with a need number representing the community's share of the estimated 51,000 new affordable housing units needed by the region between 2011 and 2020. To date, all of the Comprehensive Plan Updates for communities with an

affordable housing need number have acknowledged and included this affordable housing need number.

Though communities have accepted the concept that they shoulder their fair share of the next decade's affordable housing need, they have expressed legitimate concerns that there may not be sufficient resources available to the region over the course of the next decade to support the 51,000 new affordable housing opportunities needed through 2020.

Staff have worked with MN Housing over the course of the past several months to determine a fair and realistic expectation of the funding availability for additional affordable units over the next 10 years based upon funds availability and utilization in the region over the past four years. This examination has revealed that if available resources levels remain the same through the next decade as the last four years, with the exception of Minneapolis, St. Paul and communities in Dakota County, it is likely that funding availability would support only 65 percent of the projected new affordable housing need in the region. This level of resources is proposed by staff to be used to establish the low end of an LCA goal range for community's for new affordable units. The high end of the range will be a community's share of the total regional need as set forth in their Comprehensive Plan Updates for 2008. (Attachment 1)

In this fashion, the proposed LCA affordable housing goals expectations for communities will be a numerical range of units that recognizes funding realities and limitations for providing new affordable housing opportunities as the low end of a range, yet acknowledges a community's total share of the regional need as the high end of that range should sufficient resources become available over the decade.

Because Minneapolis, St Paul and Dakota County are housing revenue bond entitlement communities and can issue their own housing revenue bonds as well as use the other state resources, the affordable goal expectation for these communities is proposed to be greater. The level of funding available only to Dakota County would increase the bottom end of the proposed ranges for the county's LCA communities to about 85% of the total need, while with the additional funding available only to them, Minneapolis and St Paul would be expected to have resources to meet all of their share of regional need through 2020.

LCA Life-cycle Housing Goals 2011

Regarding the life-cycle housing goals requirement in the LCA, staff is proposing that every community choosing to participate in the LCA going forward agree that their life-cycle housing goal for 2011 to 2020 be a range of numbers already set forth in their local Comprehensive Plan Updates.

The low-end of the life-cycle housing range is suggested to be the community's full affordable housing needs number as identified in their Plan Update. Since it is generally agreed that most new affordable housing development is in the form of attached housing, LCA participating communities should set as their minimum life-cycle housing goal enough attached housing for at least their adopted share of the regional affordable need. All but one of the Plan Updates reviewed to date have done so. The high end of their life-cycle goal range would be the number of attached housing units that can be developed in the community given the future land use designations in their 2008 Plan Update. (Attachment 2)

The key element of the proposed life-cycle housing goals will be the use of each communities' self-determined multifamily unit numbers as set forth in their Plan Updates. The numbers proposed as the life-cycle units goal range are already addressed in the future land use designations and allowable densities set forth in the local Plan Updates. They would be recast as a community's LCA goals to satisfy the life-cycle housing goals requirement of the law. It appears that with few exceptions, communities have provided ample opportunity for the housing market to respond to life-cycle housing demands and the provision of a diversity of housing types.

Sharing the Proposed LCA Methodology and Goals

At the direction of the Community Development Committee, staff held a series of meetings with affordable housing stakeholders on the proposed affordable and life-cycle housing goals, the method to derive the goal ranges and the anticipated timeline for adopting the goals in 2010. In conjunction with Metro Cities, two meetings were held with city staff from 20 of the current LCA participating communities, and the staff of county HRAs, or CDAs. (Attachment 3) Prior to these meetings staff met with representatives of the Council's two principle partners in funding affordable housing, Minnesota Housing and the Family Housing Fund. The last interaction was a meeting was held with a group of affordable housing advocates from five advocacy organizations.

All of the meetings resulted in important discussions about the sources of data that provided the basis for the goals' method and numbers, concerns about possible unforeseen significant fluctuations on resource availability, possible changes in forecasted household growth for some communities, and the current market behaviors regarding the numbers and types of residential development in some communities.

From the local governments, there was positive reaction to the proposal to present the affordable housing goals as a range reflecting realistic affordable housing resources levels, with the Dakota County CDA representative specifically acknowledging this connection of expectations with available resources a step they have encouraged for many years. Regarding the life-cycle goals, a few communities, Lakeville and Cottage Grove to name two, indicated that at present they are experiencing some pressure to change multifamily guiding to allow less dense residential development.

Staff indicated that reductions in the high end of the LCA life-cycle goals ranges would be acceptable and would be considered as the Council talks with each community about the goals as the process moves forward.

There was also some speculation about what revised and presumably lower, household growth forecasts over the next few years might mean for the goals put in place this year. Staff indicated that at any time a forecasted growth reduction might have a significant impact on the community's share of the regional housing need, and as has been done in the first 15 years of the program, the community's LCA goals could again be renegotiated.

Finally, the meeting with the affordable housing advocates yielded the most significant reservations about the affordable housing goals proposal. Concern was expressed about allowing the low end of the goals range to be as low as 65%, or for communities with comparatively low need numbers being able to also lower their goal range to the 65% number. There were concerns that allowing a range was in fact accepting and endorsing a reduced expectation and responsibility for all communities that want to participate in the LCA and avail themselves of the LCA grant programs. Much of the discussion focused on the concern that poor or less than adequate goals achievement did not have

greater consequences in the Council's discretionary funding decisions. Concern was expressed that despite setting goals and guiding land to accommodate affordable housing, many cities did not work to help affordable development happen.

Next Steps

Following Council acceptance of the proposed goals, staff will communicate the new goal expectations to current LCA participating communities beginning in June.

At present, communities will be strongly encouraged to adopt these new goals locally and to communicate this action to the Council by September 1. At such time as the Council has received the agreed to LCA affordable and life-cycle goals for 2011 to 2020 from all of the communities electing to continue participation, pursuant to the LCA statute, the Council will hold a public hearing on the entire package of new goals, and adopt them by resolution to be effective for the next decade of LCA implementation.

Rationale

MN Statutes 473.254 requires the Council to negotiate affordable and life-cycle housing goals with each municipality that elects to participate in the Local Housing Incentives Account. The LCA goals previously negotiated in 1995 for the timeframe 1996 through 2010 are expiring at the end of this year and new goals must be negotiated with municipalities electing to do so.

Funding

There is no funding involved in the goals negotiation, however only communities that elect to participate in the LCA and negotiate new goals with the Council can receive LCA funding after 2010.

Known Support / Opposition

Staff from the Housing Preservation Project expressed in their meeting with staff that they believe the proposed low end of the affordable goal range is too low, and that communities will view the low end as their expected goal and disregard their total fair share need.

Attachment 1

Proposal for Livable Communities Act (LCA) Affordable Housing Goals for 2011-2020

Affordable housing – defined as additional/new housing opportunities created in a community that are affordable to households with an income at 60% or less of the area median income.

-- Summary Report: Determining Affordable housing in the Twin Cities 2011 -2020 --

LCA Goals – expressed as a range where:

- the <u>minimum</u> is a number equal to the portion of the community's share of the total regional need for affordable housing units that can be expected to be funded based on the resources available in the region to create new affordable housing opportunities, and
- the <u>maximum</u> is the community's share of the total regional need for new affordable housing units as identified by the Metropolitan Council and acknowledged by the community in its 2008 Comprehensive Plan Update.

PROPOSED LCA AFFORDABLE					
HOUSING GOALS 2011 to 2020					
LCA Participant Community	Share of Affordable Housing Need From Comp Plan Update	Affordable Housing Goals Range			
Afton		0			
Anoka	96	62 - 96			
Apple Valley	1,307	1,098 - 1,307*			
Arden Hills	288	187 - 288			
Bayport	29	19 - 29			
Belle Plaine	202	131 - 202			
Blaine	1,865	1,212 - 1,865			
Bloomington	961	625 - 961			
Brooklyn Center	163	106 - 163			
Brooklyn Park	1,506	979 - 1,506			
Burnsville	737	619 - 737*			
Carver	894	581 - 894			
Centerville	170	111 - 170			
Champlin	179	116 - 179			
Chanhassen	1,166	758 - 1,166			
Chaska	2,300	1,495 - 2,300			
Circle Pines	13	8 - 13			
Cologne	211	137 - 211			
Columbia Heights	231	150 - 231			
Columbus	54	35 -54			
Coon Rapids	200	130 - 200			
Cottage Grove	985	640 - 985			
Crystal	87	57 - 87			
Dayton	1,240	806 - 1,240			
Eagan	884	746 - 884*			
Eden Prairie	1,843	1,198 - 1,843			
Edina	212	138 - 212			
Elko New Market	456	296 - 456			
Empire Township	147	127 - 147*			
Excelsior	7	5 - 7			
Falcon Heights	21	14 - 21			
Farmington	492	413 - 492*			
Forest Lake	551	358 - 551			
Fridley	116	75 - 116			
Golden Valley	104	68 - 104			
Hamburg	6	4 - 6			
Hastings	241	204 - 241			
Hilltop	43	28 - 43*			
Hopkins	143	93 - 143			
Hugo	855	556 - 855			
Inver Grove Hgts.	871	737 - 871*			

^{*}Goal is greater given the availability and use of additional affordable housing funding not available region-wide.

PROPOSED LCA AFFORDABLE				
HOUSING GOALS 2011 to 2020				
LCA Participant Community	Share of Affordable Housing Need From Comp Plan Update	Affordable Housing Goals Range		
Jordan	114	74 - 114		
Lake St. Croix Beach		0		
Lakeville	2,260	1,888 - 2,260*		
Landfall		0		
Lauderdale	35	23 - 35		
Lexington	8	5 - 8		
Lino Lakes	560	364 - 560		
Little Canada	51	33 - 51		
Long Lake	40	26 - 40		
Loretto	3	2 - 3		
Mahtomedi	27	18 - 27		
Maple Grove	1,764	1,147 - 1,764		
Maple Plain	19	12 - 19		
Maplewood	388	252 - 388		
Mayer	174	113 - 174		
Medina	506	329 - 506		
Mendota Hgts.		72 - 86*		
Minneapolis	4,224	4224*		
Minnetonka	378	246 - 378		
Minnetonka Beach				
Mound	68	44 - 68		
Mounds View	81	53 - 81		
New Brighton	137	89 - 137		
New Germany	11	7 - 11		
New Hope	213	138 - 213		
Newport	68	44 - 68		
North St. Paul	115	75 - 115		
Norwood/Young America	194			
Oak Grove	0	0		
Oak Park Heights	24	16 - 24		
Oakdale	184	120 - 184		
Orono	311	202 - 311		
Osseo	23	15 - 23		
Plymouth	1,045			
Prior Lake	1,166			
Ramsey	669			
Richfield	765			
Robbinsdale	133			
Rogers	382			
Rosemount	1,000			
Roseville	201	131 - 201		

^{*}Goal is greater given the availability and use of additional affordable housing funding not available region-wide.

PROPOSED LCA AFFORDABLE				
HOUSING GOALS 2011 to 2020				
LCA Participant Community	Share of Affordable Housing Need From Comp Plan Update	Affordable Housing Goals Range		
Savage	1,237	804 - 1,237		
Shakopee	2,105	1,368 - 2,105		
Shoreview	107	70 - 107		
So. St. Paul	104	84 - 104*		
Spring Lake Park	19	12 - 19		
Spring Park	. 31	20 - 31		
St. Anthony	312	203 - 312		
St. Bonifacius	. 0	0		
St. Francis	73	47 - 73		
St. Louis Park	501	326 - 501		
St. Paul	2,625	2,625*		
St. Paul Park	438	285 - 438		
Stillwater	233	151 - 233		
Sunfish Lake	0	0		
Vadnais Heights	170	111 - 170		
Victoria	975	634 - 975		
Waconia	706	459 - 706		
Watertown	60	39 - 60		
Wayzata	_109	71 - 109		
W. St. Paul	104	84 - 104*		
White Bear Lake	65	42 - 65		
White Bear Twp.	113	73 - 113		
Willernie	2	1 - 2		
Woodbury	2,057	1,337 - 2, 057		

^{*}Goal is greater given the availability and use of additional affordable housing funding not available region-wide.

Attachment 2

Proposal for Livable Communities Act (LCA) <u>Life-Cycle</u> Housing Goals for 2011 – 2020

Lifecycle housing – defined as varied housing options that meet people's preferences and circumstances at all of life's stages, providing a balance of single-family homes, apartments, condominiums, townhomes, and senior housing for independent living or with a range of assisted-living services.

-- Metropolitan Development Framework Glossary --

LCA Goal – expressed as a range where:

- the <u>minimum</u> is the number of units equal to the community's share of the regional need for affordable housing, and
- the <u>maximum</u> is the maximum number of units of medium, high, mixed-use, redevelopment, TOD or similarly named residential units allowed by the future land use guided in the community's Comprehensive Plan Update or the total forecasted household growth of the community to 2020, whichever is <u>less</u>.

PROPOSED LCA LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING GOALS 2011 to 2020				
LCA Participant Community	2010 -2020 Household Growth Forecast	Share of Affordable Housing Need	Possible Multi- Family Units Guided in Comp Plan Update	Life-Cycle Housing Goal Range (rounded)
Afton	0-	. 0	0	0
Anoka	600	96	466	95 - 465
Apple Valley	3,700	1,307	3,889	1,305 - 3,700
Arden Hills	800	288	1,819	285 - 800
Bayport	160	29	286	25 -285
Beile Plaine	1,800	. 202	780	200 - 780
Blaine	7,800	1,865	3,470	1,865 - 3,470
Bloomington	2,300	961	3,476	
Brooklyn Center	400	163	643	160 - 400
Brooklyjn Pairk	0 4 3 1			
Burnsville	1,800	737	2,722	735 -1,800
Carver	4,360	894	10,300	890 - 4,360
Centerville	273	170	1,364	170 - 270
Champlin	700	179	558	
Chanhassen	2,600	1,166	3,960	1,165 - 2,600
Chaska	726	2,300	1,554	725 - 1,550
Circle Pines	50	13	345	10 - 50
Cologne	1,020	211	1,344	210 - 1,020
Columbia Heights	600	231	689	230 - 600
Columbus	345	54	702	50 - 345
Coon Rapids	900	200		
Cottage Grove	4,400	985	1,120	985 - 1,120
Crystally 200 200 200 200	200	131		
Dayton	8,000	1,240		
Eagan	2,500	. 884		
Eden Prairie	3,500	1,843		
Edina	400		1,038	
Elko New Market	2,730	456		
Empire Township	1,030	147		
Excelsior	20		24	
Falcon Heights	. 50			
Farmington	3,000			
Forest Lake	7,998			
Fridley	300			
Golden Valley	200		 	
Hamburg	60			
Hastings	2,200			
Hilltop	190			
Hopkins \	300			
Hugo	3,900			
Inver Grove Hgts.	6,450			
Jordan	1,550			·
Lake St. Croix Beach	0			
Lakeville	8,200			
Landfall	0		numbers not yet rece	

Shaded rows - Comprehensive Plan Update or final Land Use numbers not yet received.

PROPOSE	D LCA LIFE-(CYCLE HOUSIN	IG GOALS 201	1 to 2020
LCA Participant Community	2010 -2020 Household Growth Forecast	Share of Affordable Housing Need	Possible Multi- Family Units Guided in Comp Plan Update	Life-Cycle Housing Goal Range (rounded)
Lauderdale	90	35	397	35 - 90
Lexingtons in Page	40		0 و د	
Lino Lakes	2,500	560	1,306	560 - 1,305
Little Canada	150	51	0	50 - 150
Long Lake	100	40	595	40 - 100
Loretto	10	3	50	3 - 10
Mahtomedi	100	27	160	25 - 100
Maple Grove	5,644	1,764	3,606	1,760 - 3,605
Maple Plain	90	19	677	15 - 90
Maplewood	1,050	388	3,783	385 - 1,050
Mayer	1,200	174	928	170 - 925
Medina	1,480	506	4,972	505 - 1,480
AND	1,400			
Mendota Hots	9,300	4,224	15,628	4,220 - 9,300
Minneapolis	9,300	378	4,840	375 - 800
Minnetonka		0	0	0
Minnetonka Beach	0	68		
Mound	250		488	
Mounds View	200	81		
New Brighton	400	137	2,550	1
New Germany	200	11	305	
New Hope	500	213	1,270	
Newport	280	68		
North St. Paul	300	115		115 - 300
Norwood/Young Ame	1,660		426	
Oak Grove	600	0		
Oak Park Heights	120	24	120	
Oakdale	700	184	524	180 - 520
Ofono / College Services		311		
Osseo	100	23		
Plymouth	2,500	1,045		
Prior Lake	3,000	1,166	3,182	1,165 - 3,000
Ramsey	3,100	669		
Richfield	1,500	765	6,000	765 - 1,500
Robbinsdale	300	133	616	130 - 300
Rogers	2,560		2,151	380 - 2,150
Rosemount	3,850			
Roseville	500		···	
Savage	2,900			
Shakopee	4,500			
Shoreview	300			
So St Pau				
Spring Lake Park	50	19	66	15 - 50
	. 80			
Spring Park	800			
St. Anthony	000			0
St. Bonifacius		ate or final Land Use r		.) <u> </u>

Shaded rows - Comprehensive Plan Update or final Land Use numbers not yet received.

PROPOSED LCA LIFE-CYCLE HOUSING GOALS 2011 to 2020				
LCA Participant Community	2010 -2020 Household Growth Forecast	Share of Affordable Housing Need	Possible Multi- Family Units Guided in Comp Plan Update	Life-Cycle Housing Goal Range (rounded)
St. Francis	1,200	73	3,130	70 - 1,200
St. Louis Park	1,000	501	2,865	500 - 1,000
St. Paul	7,000	2,625	10,685	
St. Paul Park	1,670	438	2,601	435 - 1,670
Stillwater	900	233	654	230 - 650
Sunfish Lake	10	0	0	
Vadnais Heights.		170		
Victoria	3,200	975	4,090	
Waconia	3,500	706		
Watertown	700	60	654	60 - 650
Wayzata	250	109		105 - 250
W. St. Paul	300	104	518	100 - 300
White Bear Lake	200	65		65 - 200
White Bear Twp.	390	113	56	110 - 113
Willemier : Registra				
Woodbury	7,494	2,057	2,790	2055 - 2790

Attachment 3

List of Stakeholder Interactions

April 26th Minnesota Housing

April 28th Family Housing Fund

May 7th Staff from:

Burnsville Cottage Grove Dakota County CDA

Eagan Lakeville Ramsey

Washington County HRA

May 10th Staff from:

Blaine

Brooklyn Park Carver County CDA

East Bethel Edina Hugo

Maple Grove Prior Lake Rosemount St. Louis Park St. Paul Woodbury

May 10th Alliance for Metropolitan Stability

Family Housing Fund

Housing Preservation Project

MICAH

MN Housing Partnership